

Use of fields instead of potential (Pauli, Mandelstam etc)

Let $\hat{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}^a(x)$ be the Dirac string field such that

$$\partial_\mu \hat{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}^a = \hat{j}_\nu \quad \text{given.}$$

For non-Abelian, $\hat{G}_{\mu\nu}^a \equiv \hat{\rho}^a(x) \hat{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}^a$, $\hat{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}^a D_\mu \hat{\rho} = 0$

This is possible if $\hat{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}^a [D_\mu D_\nu] \hat{\rho} = 0 \rightarrow \hat{\rho} \neq F_{\mu\nu} \hat{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}^a$.

So choose $\hat{\rho}^a \equiv \sigma \cdot F / |\sigma \cdot F|$

$$\text{Then } \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \hat{\rho}^2 = |\sigma \cdot F| = [(\hat{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu})^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta A_\nu} \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -D_\mu (\hat{\sigma}_{\mu\nu}^a(x) \sigma \cdot F / |\sigma \cdot F|) = -\hat{j}_\nu \hat{\rho}^a(x) \equiv -J_\nu^a$$

Is the min. action indep of the string configuration?

Classically, yes. The eqs motion are the same. The virial Theorem unchanged.

I don't like the $||$ sign for non-Abelian. So instead

$$\mathcal{L} = \sigma \cdot F^a \phi^a - \frac{\lambda}{2} (\phi^2 - 1)$$

$$\delta \phi : \quad \sigma \cdot F = \lambda \phi$$

$$\delta \lambda : \quad \phi^2 = 1$$

Correction: The field ρ does not exist in general.

$$\rho = \sigma_{\mu\nu} \hat{F}_{\mu\nu}(x) \text{ does not satisfy } \sigma_{\mu\nu} D_\nu \rho = 0.$$

In order to satisfy $D_1 \rho = 0$ along a sheet, we have to integrate along a path γ . The fact ~~that~~ $F_{12} = 0$ means that the ρ is ~~not~~ nonlocal & nonintegrable. Then we cannot satisfy $D_2 \rho = 0$ at the same time.

$$\text{If } \rho \parallel F \cdot \sigma \rightarrow \rho \times F \cdot \sigma = 0 \rightarrow n \cdot D_\mu (\rho \times F \cdot \sigma) = 0,$$

$$n \text{ tangent to } \sigma. \rightarrow \rho \times n \cdot D (F \cdot \sigma) = 0, \text{ since } n \cdot D \rho = 0$$

$$\rightarrow F \cdot \sigma \times n \cdot D (F \cdot \sigma) = 0$$

$$\text{Let } F \cdot \sigma \rightarrow F_{12}, \quad n \parallel 1 \rightarrow F_{12} \times D_1 (F \cdot \sigma) \quad D_1 \sigma_{12} = 0$$
$$\rightarrow F_{12} \times D_1 F_{12} \quad \text{since } n \cdot D$$

$$\text{or } D_1 F_{12} \parallel F_{12} \quad \text{Call } F_{12} = F \cdot \sigma \equiv \phi$$

$$\rightarrow n \cdot D \phi \propto \phi \rightarrow A \times \phi = 0 \rightarrow A_1, A_2 \parallel \phi.$$

\rightarrow locally Abelian.

More generally, consider

$$D_\mu G_{\mu\nu} = j_\nu \rightarrow D_\nu D_\mu G_{\mu\nu} = 0 \rightarrow F_{\mu\nu} \times G_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

We may set $G_{\mu\nu} \sim \sigma_{\mu\nu}$ i.e. only one comp $\neq 0$ and so $\parallel F_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu}$

Then we have $G_{\mu\nu} = f(x) F \cdot \sigma_{\mu\nu}$ or $\equiv \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu}$

$D_\mu G_{\mu\nu} = D_\mu \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu}$ is in the tangent space.

Is it possible to have $D_\mu \phi = 0$ in the tangent space?

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\mu} = \sigma_{12} : \quad D_1 G_{12} \rightarrow \partial_1 f F_{12} \sigma_{12} + f D_1 (F_{12} \cdot \sigma_{12}) = 0$$
$$D_2 \dots \quad \partial_2 f F_{12} \sigma_{12} + f D_2 (F_{12} \cdot \sigma_{12}) = 0$$

These can be integrated for f , since integrability is O.K.

$$\partial_1 \ln f = -D_1 \ln |F \cdot \sigma| \quad \text{or } \ln F \cdot \sigma$$

$$\partial_2 \ln f = -D_2 \ln |F \cdot \sigma|$$

provided that $D_1 (F \cdot \sigma) \parallel F \cdot \sigma$ or $A_1 \parallel F \cdot \sigma$

$\Rightarrow A_1 \parallel A_2 \parallel F \cdot \sigma$! Again locally Abelian.

At any pt, there are four isovectors A_μ^a , so \exists one relation

$$c_\mu A_\mu^a = 0 \rightarrow A_\lambda^a = 0 \text{ for some direction } c_\lambda$$

Then we ~~may~~ have to choose σ to contain c_λ . ~~$\rightarrow A$~~

Construction of Σ_{uv} : Given a boundary line j_v ,

Suppose j_v does not lie in the "null" direction n . Then

$\Sigma_{uv} \sim n \times j$. ~~The~~ So Σ_{uv} is generated by n ~~at~~ j_v .

If so, we may not be able to join two currents j_v by a sheet σ .

Let's consider the following ansatz:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F \cdot F - \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu}^a \phi^a \sigma_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4} \phi^2$$

Variables are A_μ , ϕ & the sheet variables

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \iint_\sigma [y_\mu y_\nu] \delta^4(y-x) d^4z$$

$$\delta A_\mu: \quad -D_\nu F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{D_\nu}{\nu} (\phi \sigma_{\mu\nu}) = 0$$

$$(\frac{D_\nu}{\nu} \phi) \sigma_{\mu\nu} + \phi j_\mu^{\nu\rho} \quad \quad j_\mu^{\nu\rho} = \partial_\mu \sigma_{\nu\rho}$$

$$\delta \phi: \quad \phi = \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu}$$

Integrability: $D_\nu D_\mu (\phi \sigma_{\mu\nu}) \approx \nu F_{\mu\nu} \times \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu} = 0$ O.K.

~~It is~~ I would like to have $D_\mu \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu} = 0$

This must be done in terms of a vector field V_μ :

$$\mathcal{L} \sim D_\mu V_\nu \cdot \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow D_\mu \phi \cdot \sigma_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad \text{But this is too much!}$$

Even on the boundary, $j^\nu = 0$ or else $\phi = 0$ there? ~~Good~~

~~$$D_\nu (D_\mu \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu}) \approx (F_{\mu\nu} \times \phi) \sigma_{\mu\nu} + D_\nu (\phi j_\nu) = 0$$~~

$$D_\nu (\phi j_\nu) \approx D_\nu \phi \cdot j_\nu$$

So $F_{\mu\nu} \parallel \phi$ and $D_\nu \phi \cdot j^\nu = 0$

But $\delta A_\mu \rightarrow V_\nu \times \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu}$ as a new current.

$$D_\mu (V_\nu \times \phi) \sigma_{\mu\nu} = D_\mu V_\nu \times \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow 0$$

Next try a piece $\mathcal{L} \sim D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi \cdot \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu}$

$$\delta A_\mu \rightarrow \cancel{-2 D_\mu (D_\nu \phi \times \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu})} \quad D_\mu = \partial_\mu + A_\mu \times \quad \text{so}$$

$$D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi \cdot \phi = \partial_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi \cdot \phi + [(A_\mu \times \phi) \times D_\nu \phi] \cdot \phi$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$(A_\mu \times \phi) \cdot (D_\nu \phi \times \phi)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$A_\mu \cdot [\phi \times (D_\nu \phi \times \phi)]$$

$$\rightarrow 2 [\phi \times (D_\nu \phi \times \phi)] \sigma_{\mu\nu}$$

$$= 2 D_\nu \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu} - \phi \cdot D_\nu \phi \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu}$$

$$= 2 D_\nu \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{if } \phi^2 = \text{const.} = 1.$$

Therefore choose $\mathcal{L} = +\frac{1}{2} (D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi) \cdot \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu} //$

Then
$$D_\nu F_{\mu\nu} + D_\nu (\phi \sigma_{\mu\nu}) - (D_\nu \phi) \sigma_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\phi j_\mu !$$

$$\delta \phi: \quad -\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \phi + \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu} \mp D_\mu (D_\nu \phi \times \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu}) = 0$$

$$D_\mu (D_\nu \phi \times \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu}) = (D_\mu D_\nu \phi) \times \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu} + (D_\nu \phi \times D_\mu \phi) \sigma_{\mu\nu} + (D_\nu \phi \times \phi) j_\nu$$

$$= (F_{\mu\nu} \times \phi) \times \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu} + (D_\nu \phi \times D_\mu \phi) \sigma_{\mu\nu} + (D_\nu \phi \times \phi) j_\nu$$

$$\parallel$$

$$\phi F_{\mu\nu} \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu} - F_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\rightarrow + \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \phi \mp \phi F_{\mu\nu} \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu} + \frac{3}{2} D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu} \mp (D_\nu \phi \times \phi) j_\nu$$

$$\rightarrow \cancel{F_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} + D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi \cdot \sigma_{\mu\nu} // \phi} \quad \parallel$$

$$\cancel{F_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} // \phi}$$

By contracting with ϕ :

$$-\phi \frac{1}{2} \phi \cdot F_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \phi \cdot D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

Rather, with a Lagrange multiplier $\lambda(\phi^2 - 1)$ in \mathcal{L} ,

$\frac{1}{2}$ should be replaced by $\lambda/2$, a field.

So there is no constraint except that $\phi \parallel F_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu}$ Good!

The \mathcal{L} amounts to taking

$$(F_{\mu\nu} + D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi) \cdot \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu}$$

$$D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi \text{ contains } (A_\mu \times \phi) \times (A_\nu \times \phi) = -(A_\mu \times \phi) \cdot A_\nu \phi \\ = (A_\mu \times A_\nu) \cdot \phi \phi$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = (\partial_\mu + A_\mu \times) (\partial_\nu + A_\nu \times)$$

$$A_\mu \times (A_\nu \times \phi) - A_\nu \times (A_\mu \times \phi) \\ = \underbrace{A_\mu \times A_\nu}_{\text{cross product}} \cdot \phi \\ = (A_\mu \times A_\nu) \cdot \phi$$

$$(A_\mu \times \phi) \times \partial_\nu \phi = A_\mu \cdot \partial_\nu \phi \phi - A_\mu \phi \cdot \partial_\nu \phi \\ = A_\mu \cdot \partial_\nu \phi \phi$$

$$\text{So } (F_{\mu\nu} + D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi) \cdot \phi \rightarrow F_{\mu\nu} \cdot \phi + (A_\mu \times A_\nu) \cdot \phi + \underbrace{A_\mu \cdot \partial_\nu \phi}_{\downarrow} - \partial_\mu \phi \times \partial_\nu \phi \cdot \phi$$

$$\rightarrow \cancel{2 F_{\mu\nu} \cdot \phi} + \underbrace{\partial_\mu (A_\nu \cdot \phi)}_{\downarrow} - \partial_\mu \phi \times \partial_\nu \phi \cdot \phi$$

If $\phi^2 \neq 1$, additional term:
~~Something is wrong.~~

$$(1 - \phi^2) [F_{\mu\nu} \cdot \phi - \underbrace{\partial_\mu (A_\nu \cdot \phi)}_{\downarrow}]$$

N.B. The combination $(F_{\mu\nu} - D_\mu\phi \times D_\nu\phi) \cdot \phi$
 must be the 't Hooft field which can also be written

$$\partial_\mu(\phi \cdot A_\nu) - \partial_\nu\phi \times \partial_\mu\phi \cdot \phi$$

My form, when $D_\mu\phi \rightarrow 0$, is

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\phi \times \partial_\nu\phi + \phi \overset{f}{G}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \phi \cdot F_{\mu\nu} - \phi \cdot \partial_\mu\phi \times \partial_\nu\phi = f_{\mu\nu}$$

The relation is not so transparent.

At any rate, we have the total \mathcal{L}

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F \cdot F - \frac{1}{2} G \cdot (F - H) - \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^2, \quad H_{\mu\nu} = D_\mu\phi \times D_\nu\phi$$

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \phi \sigma_{\mu\nu}$$

Variation of y_μ :

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta y_\lambda} \sigma_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} (y'_\nu \delta(y-x)) \delta_{\mu\lambda} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} (y'_\mu \delta(y-x)) \delta_{\nu\lambda} + (\tau \leftrightarrow \sigma)$$

$$+ (\dot{y}_\mu \dot{y}'_\nu - \dot{y}_\nu \dot{y}'_\mu) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\lambda} \delta(y-x)$$

$$= -[y'_\nu \dot{y}'_\rho] \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\rho} \delta(y-x) \delta_{\mu\lambda} + [y'_\mu \dot{y}'_\rho] \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\rho} \delta(y-x) \delta_{\nu\lambda}$$

$$+ (\dot{y}_\mu \dot{y}'_\nu - \dot{y}_\nu \dot{y}'_\mu) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\lambda} \delta(y-x)$$

→ Eq 9

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta y_\lambda} : X_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow -X_{\lambda\nu} [y'_\nu \dot{y}'_\rho] \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\rho} \delta(y-x) + X_{\mu\lambda} [y'_\mu \dot{y}'_\rho] \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\rho} \delta(y-x)$$

$$+ X_{\mu\nu} [\dot{y}_\mu \dot{y}'_\nu] \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\lambda} \delta(y-x)$$

$$= X_{\lambda\nu} [y'_\nu \dot{y}'_\rho] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\rho} \delta(y-x) - X_{\mu\lambda} [y'_\mu \dot{y}'_\rho] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\rho} \delta(y-x)$$

$$- X_{\mu\nu} [\dot{y}_\mu \dot{y}'_\nu] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda} \delta(y-x)$$

$$\rightarrow -[y_\rho y_\mu] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\rho} X_{\lambda\mu} - [y_\rho y_\mu] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\rho} X_{\lambda\mu} + [y_\mu y_\nu] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda} X_{\mu\nu} \times \delta(y-x)$$

$$= [y_\mu y_\nu] \sum_{\text{cyc}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda} X_{\mu\nu} \delta(y-x)$$

Here $X_{\mu\nu} = (F_{\mu\nu} - D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi) \cdot \phi$

$$\sum \partial_\lambda X_{\mu\nu} = \sum \partial_\lambda \phi \cdot (F_{\mu\nu} - D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi)$$

$$- \sum D_\lambda D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi \cdot \phi - \sum D_\mu \phi \times D_\lambda D_\nu \phi \cdot \phi$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \sum (F_{\lambda\mu} \times \phi) \times D_\nu \phi \cdot \phi - \frac{1}{2} \sum [D_\mu \phi \times (F_{\lambda\nu} \times \phi)] \cdot \phi$$

$$= - \sum D_\lambda \phi \cdot D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi$$

$$- \sum \phi \cdot D_\nu \phi \cdot F_{\lambda\mu} \cdot \phi \quad \rightarrow 0$$

" if $\phi^2 = 1$.

$$= \sum D_\lambda \phi \cdot D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi$$

also $= \sum_{\text{cycl.}} \partial_\lambda \phi \cdot \partial_\mu \phi \times \partial_\nu \phi = 0$ unless $\vec{\phi}$ is singular.

This is the ~~non~~ magnetic current $k_\rho = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\rho\lambda\mu\nu} \partial_\lambda \phi \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi !$

so $\sum \partial_\lambda X_{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} = - \tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda\rho} k_\rho = - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\lambda\rho\alpha\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}$

$$\times \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\rho\lambda\mu\nu} \partial_\lambda \phi \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi$$

Given a random distribution of singularities of ϕ ,
how to ~~show~~ ^{derive} the area law?

Consider the correlation:-

$$Z = \exp[i \int \mathcal{L} d^4x]$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta y'_\sigma} \frac{\delta Z}{\delta y_\lambda} \Rightarrow - \langle \tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda\rho} k_\rho \times \tilde{\sigma}'_{\sigma\tau} k'_\tau \rangle$$

$\langle k_\rho k'_\sigma \rangle \rightarrow C \delta_{\rho\sigma}$ if the two points coincide.

$$\Rightarrow - C \tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda\rho} \tilde{\sigma}'_{\sigma\rho} Z$$

Probably this is not the correct treatment.

Given a current k_ρ , ~~if~~ $\delta Z / \delta y_\lambda \neq 0$, so the action is
not at a minimum. By changing y_λ , it will reach a lower

action configuration. So the min. will be reached, on the

averaged average, by moving by a distance of $\frac{1}{2}$

or average distance between monopoles.

monopole current $k_{\theta\mu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \partial_\nu \phi^a \partial_\lambda \phi^b \partial_\rho \phi^c \epsilon^{abc}$
 $= \partial_\lambda \pi_{\lambda\mu} ?$

$$\pi_{\lambda\mu} = \epsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu \phi^a \partial_\rho \phi^b \phi^c \epsilon^{abc} ? \quad \text{yes.}$$

and this is almost conserved. $\therefore \partial_\lambda \pi_{\lambda\mu} \approx 0$.

Generalization of $D_\mu \phi = 0$:

$$(D_\mu + V_\mu \times) \phi = 0 \rightarrow ([D_\mu D_\nu] + \underbrace{D_\mu V_\nu + V_\mu \times V_\nu}) \cdot \phi = 0$$

$$(D_\nu + V_\nu \times) \phi = 0 \quad \downarrow \\ F_{\mu\nu}$$

Also $V_\mu = -\phi \times D_\mu \phi + \phi a_\mu$

Check $\phi \times D_\mu \phi + \phi \times (V_\mu \times \phi) = 0$

$$V_\mu \parallel \phi$$

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{D_\mu V_\nu} &= \underbrace{D_\mu (-\phi \times D_\nu \phi)} + \underbrace{D_\mu (\phi a_\nu)} \\ &= -2 \underbrace{D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi} - \phi \times (\underbrace{D_\mu D_\nu \phi}) + \underbrace{D_\mu (\phi a_\nu)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_\mu \times V_\nu &= (-\phi \times D_\mu \phi + \phi a_\mu) \times (-\phi \times D_\nu \phi + \phi a_\nu) \\ &= (\phi \times D_\mu \phi) \times (\phi \times D_\nu \phi) - (\phi \times D_\mu \phi) \times \phi a_\nu - \phi a_\mu \times (\phi \times D_\nu \phi) \\ &= + (\phi \times D_\mu \phi) \cdot D_\nu \phi - \underbrace{D_\mu \phi a_\nu} + \phi \underbrace{D_\mu \phi \cdot \phi a_\nu} \\ &\quad \parallel \\ &\quad 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{D_\mu V_\nu} + V_\mu \times V_\nu &= -D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi \cdot \phi + \phi \underbrace{D_\mu a_\nu} - \phi \times (F_{\mu\nu} \times \phi) \\ &\quad \parallel \\ &= -F_{\mu\nu} + \phi \cdot \phi \cdot F_{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

so $\phi \cdot F_{\mu\nu} + \underbrace{D_\mu V_\nu} + V_\mu \times V_\nu$

$$= \phi \cdot \phi \cdot F_{\mu\nu} - \phi D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi \cdot \phi + \phi D_\mu a_\nu$$

$$= \phi \left[\phi \cdot F_{\mu\nu} - D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi \cdot \phi + D_\mu a_\nu \right] \cdot \phi \quad \text{which is } \parallel \phi$$

Projection onto an arbitrary ϕ of this combination is always integrable.

A New look:

Conditions for integrable phase factor

$$\text{Let } \tilde{D}_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu + A_\mu x + V_\mu x = D_\mu + V_\mu x \quad \text{or} \quad = \partial_\mu + \tilde{A}_\mu$$

$$\text{and } U\Phi = \text{Pexp} \left[i \int \tilde{A}_\mu dx^\mu \right] \quad \tilde{A}_\mu = A_\mu + V_\mu$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \sigma_{\mu t}} U = i U' \tilde{F}_{\mu t} U''$$

This $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$ can be displaced if $\tilde{D}_t \tilde{F}_{\mu t} = 0$:

$$\frac{\delta U(x,y)}{\delta \sigma_{\mu t}(y)} = i \tilde{F}_{\mu t}(x) U(x,y) \quad ?$$

$$\rightarrow U_{\vec{T}} \left(\begin{matrix} x,y \\ \text{time } T \end{matrix} \right) = \exp \left[i L \int_0^T \tilde{F}_{03}(t) dt \right] U_0(x,y)$$

If further $\tilde{D}_0 \tilde{F}_{03} = 0$

~~$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow &= \exp \left[i L T \tilde{F}_{03}(x,T) \right] U_0(x,y) \\ &= U_0(x,y) \exp \left[i L T \tilde{F}_{03}(y,T) \right] \end{aligned}$$~~

This assumes a flat surface.

So define $\tilde{D}_\mu = D_\mu + V_\mu$ or $\tilde{A}_\mu = A_\mu + V_\mu$

$$\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = [\tilde{D}_\mu, \tilde{D}_\nu] = F_{\mu\nu} + \underbrace{D_\mu V_\nu - D_\nu V_\mu}_{V_\mu \times V_\nu}$$

$(\mu, \nu) = (0, 3)$ only
 $\tilde{D}_0 \tilde{F}_{03} = \tilde{D}_3 \tilde{F}_{30} = 0 \rightarrow$ call $\tilde{F}_{03} = k^2 \phi$, $\phi^2 = \text{const}$

$$\tilde{A}_\mu = -\phi \times \partial_\mu \phi + \phi \tilde{a}_\mu \quad \text{or} \quad V_\mu = -\phi \times \tilde{D}_\mu \phi + \phi a_\mu$$

$$\tilde{a} = a + A \cdot \phi, \quad a: \text{gauge covariant}$$

$$\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu} + \cancel{D_\mu V_\nu - D_\nu V_\mu} + V_\mu \times V_\nu$$

$$\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = -\partial_\mu \phi \times \partial_\nu \phi + \phi \underbrace{\partial_\mu \tilde{a}_\nu}$$

$$\underbrace{D_\mu V_\nu - D_\nu V_\mu}_{V_\mu \times V_\nu} = -F_{\mu\nu} + \phi \cdot \phi \cdot F_{\mu\nu} - (D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi - \partial_\mu a_\nu) \phi$$

$$\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = \phi [\phi \cdot F_{\mu\nu} - D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi + \partial_\mu a_\nu]$$

Field eq. $\rightarrow \phi \cdot F_{\mu\nu} - D_\mu \phi \times D_\nu \phi + \partial_\mu a_\nu = \text{const} = k^2$

to determine a_μ for given $F_{\mu\nu}$ & ϕ .

What is the effective \mathcal{L} ?

Extension to $SU(3)$ is not trivial:

One way to proceed is to take an octet ϕ^a and complete $\partial_\mu (A_\nu \cdot \phi)$ by an additional term.

$$= \underbrace{\partial_\mu A_\nu^a \cdot \phi^a} + \underbrace{\partial_\mu \phi^a A_\nu^a}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a \cdot \phi^a =: f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \phi^a$$

so $\underbrace{\partial_\mu \phi^a A_\nu^a} = f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \phi^a + X_{\mu\nu}$ X indep. of A .

$$(D_\mu \phi)^a = \partial_\mu \phi^a + f^{abc} A_\mu^b \phi^c$$

$$(D_\mu \phi)^a \cdot A_\nu^a = \partial_\mu \phi^a \cdot A_\nu^a + f^{abc} A_\mu^b \phi^c A_\nu^a$$

$$= \partial_\mu \phi^a \cdot A_\nu^a + f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \phi^a$$

~~Find $\partial_\mu \phi^a A_\nu^a$~~

Try $(D_\mu \phi)^a (D_\nu \phi)^b \chi^c f^{abc}$

contains $\underbrace{\partial_\mu \phi^a A_\nu^l \phi^m}_{\text{}} f^{blm} \chi^c f^{abc}$

$$+ f^{aij} A_\mu^i \phi^j f^{blm} A_\nu^l \phi^m \chi^c f^{abc}$$

$$\rightarrow \underbrace{\partial_\mu \phi^a A_\nu^l}_{\text{}} \underbrace{\phi^m \chi^c f^{blm} f^{abc}}_{\text{}} + \dots$$

should be = $\delta^{al} \phi \cdot \chi$ (1)

and $A_\mu^i A_\nu^j \phi^k \phi^l \chi^c \cdot f^{aij} f^{blm} f^{abc}$

should be = $- f^{ilk} \phi^k A_\mu^i A_\nu^l$

or $\phi^j \phi^m \chi^c f^{aij} f^{blm} f^{abc} = - f^{ilk} \phi^k$ (2)

$$f^{abc} f^{blm} = ?$$

If ① is satisfied, then ② = $\phi^j f^{aij} g^{al} = \phi^j f^{lij}$ o.k.

So we need only ①

But contract ① with χ^a : $0 = \chi^l!$

Thus it cannot be $\sim g^{al}$.

Rather, we can add a term which lead to $\partial_a \phi \cdot \phi \rightarrow 0$.

~~or~~ i.e. $\sim g^{am} \phi^a \chi^l$

In the case of $SU(2)$:

$$\epsilon^{abc} \epsilon^{blm} = - \epsilon^{bac} \epsilon^{blm} = - \delta^{al} \delta^{mc} + \delta^{am} \delta^{lc}$$

o.k.

For $SU(3)$ this is not so!

$$f^{abc} f^{blm} \equiv T^{(ac, lm)}$$

~~It is the [ac] [lm] part of this tensor? since~~

But if $\phi \propto \chi$, we are taking the symmetric part (mc) of T.

Let ϕ be $\alpha \phi^3 + \beta \phi^{\otimes 2}$ ~~or~~ Then only terms $l=a$ contribute. So we should choose $\phi \propto \chi$ to be commuting.

A trivial solution:

Let u, u^\dagger be isospinor (or $SO(3) \cong SU(2)$)

Write the current term as $\frac{i}{2} u^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu u j^\mu$

$$= u^\dagger A_\mu u j^\mu + \frac{i}{2} u^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu u j^\mu$$

So the source is simply $u^\dagger \frac{i}{2} u j^\mu$ or $\phi^i = u^\dagger \tau^i u$!

This is certainly covariant. There is a $U(1)$ ^{-like} contribution _^

$$A_\mu^0 = \frac{i}{2} u^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu u !$$

We can also write it as a sheet:

$$\frac{1}{2} \int (u^\dagger F_{\mu\nu} u + i \underbrace{D_\mu u^\dagger D_\nu u}_{\partial_\mu A_\nu^0}) \sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \int [\underbrace{\partial_\mu (u^\dagger A_\nu u)}_{\partial_\mu A_\nu^0} + i \underbrace{\partial_\mu u^\dagger \partial_\nu u}_{\partial_\mu A_\nu^0}] \sigma_{\mu\nu}$$

In this way, no ~~integer~~ shape dependence enters. Alas!

Wait! A_μ^0 ~~has~~ can have a string singularity.

How can u become singular? Assume the $SU(2)$ (or $SU(3)$) part is regular.

$$u \sim \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b e^{i\phi n} \end{pmatrix} \quad \phi \sim \text{azimuthal angle.}$$

$u^\dagger i u$ nonsingular if $ab \neq 0$. So $a=0$ if $b \neq 0$.
 $\rightarrow b=1$.

Then $-i u^\dagger \partial_\phi u = \frac{n}{\phi} \mathbb{1}$ is singular.

$$\oint -i u^\dagger \partial_\phi u \cdot d\phi = 2\pi n$$

Similarly for $SU(3)$: $u \sim \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b e^{i\phi n} \\ c e^{i\phi^2 m} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\rightarrow a=0, \quad n=m; \quad b, c \neq 0$$

$$\text{or } a=0, \quad b=0, \quad c=1 \quad \text{or } (b \neq 1, c=0)$$

$$-i u^\dagger \partial_\phi u = (b^2 + c^2) n = n.$$

Suppose, however, that u itself is not one-valued, e.g.

$$u \sim \begin{pmatrix} a e^{-i\phi/2} \\ b e^{+i\phi/2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\phi}{2} e^{-i\phi/2} \\ \sin \frac{\phi}{2} e^{+i\phi/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

equivalent to $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b e^{i\phi} \end{pmatrix}$ for $u^\dagger i u$.

$$\text{Then } -i n^\dagger \partial_\phi u = -\frac{1}{2}(a^2 - b^2) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \text{ as } a \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\frac{i}{2} u^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu u = \frac{i}{2} u_\alpha^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu u_\alpha \quad u_\alpha = \sqrt{\rho_\alpha} e^{-i\theta_\alpha}$$

$$\rightarrow = \sum_\alpha \rho_\alpha \partial_\mu \theta_\alpha \quad \sum \rho_\alpha = 1.$$

This is like a pure gauge, or almost.

Suppose u is replaced by a set of u 's: $\{u_n\}$
and demand only that $\sum_n u_n^\dagger u_n = 1$.

Then each u_n need not be normalized to unity.

If one of them has a singularity, it will contribute

$$\int i u_n^\dagger \partial_\mu u_n = |u_n|^2 2\pi$$

Thus the action is surface-dependent!

Examples. 1. $u \rightarrow q \quad u^\dagger \partial_\mu u \rightarrow \bar{q} \partial_\mu q$

$$\bar{q} q \text{ not } \rightarrow 0.$$

2. u is a flavor doublet.

Remarks on the Stokes' formula.

① $\frac{i}{2} \int u^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D} u j^\mu$ is not equal to

② $\frac{1}{2} \iint (u^\dagger F_{\mu\nu} u + i \underbrace{D_\mu u^\dagger D_\nu u}_{\neq 0}) \sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \iint [\underbrace{\partial_\mu (u^\dagger A_\nu u)}_{\neq 0} + i \underbrace{\partial_\mu u^\dagger \partial_\nu u}_{\neq 0}] \sigma_{\mu\nu}$

became $\underbrace{\partial_\mu \partial_\nu u}_{\neq 0}$.

② = ① - $\frac{i}{2} \iint u^\dagger \underbrace{\partial_\mu \partial_\nu u}_{\neq 0} \sigma_{\mu\nu}$

or ① + $\frac{i}{2} \iint \underbrace{\partial_\mu \partial_\nu u^\dagger}_{\neq 0} u \sigma_{\mu\nu}$

∂_μ behaves like a covariant derivative: $[\partial_\mu, D_\nu]$

$\approx (\exp [i \oint A \cdot dx] - 1) / \text{area}$

$\rightarrow i 2\pi n \delta^2(x)$

In other words, $\frac{i}{2} \iint = \sum_i 2\pi n_i$

This looks like the formula of residues.

If $u^\dagger u \neq 1$, we have $\sum_i 2\pi n_i p_i$

It measures the amount of vortices ^{on} the surface.

Winding numbers

Consider an area & a line integral

$$I = \iint d\sigma_{\mu\nu}^{(x)} \int dy_\lambda X_\rho \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \quad X_\rho = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\rho} f(x-y)^2$$
$$= \iint \underbrace{\dot{x}_\mu \dot{x}'_\nu}_{d\tau d\sigma} \int \dot{y}_\lambda ds X_\rho \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}$$

with $x_\mu(\tau, \sigma)$, $y_\lambda(s)$ given.

Variation of x : ~~δy_λ~~ δx_α

$$\delta I / \delta x_\alpha(\tau, \sigma) = \underbrace{\dot{x}_\mu \dot{x}'_\nu}_{d\tau d\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} X_\rho \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \dot{y}_\lambda ds$$
$$- \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \dot{x}'_\nu X_\rho \dots \epsilon_{\alpha\nu\lambda\rho} \dots$$
$$+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \dot{x}'_\mu X_\rho \dots \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\lambda\rho} \dots$$
$$+ \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \dot{x}_\nu X_\rho \dots \epsilon_{\alpha\nu\lambda\rho} \dots$$
$$- \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \dot{x}_\mu X_\rho \dots \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\lambda\rho} \dots$$

$$= \underbrace{\dot{x}_\mu \dot{x}'_\nu}_{d\tau d\sigma} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \\ \text{cycl}(\alpha\mu\nu)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} X_\rho \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \dot{y}_\lambda ds = :$$

$$\text{Fix } \mu\nu\alpha = 123$$

$$\alpha = 3.$$

Call $\dot{x}_\mu \dot{x}_\nu \equiv \Sigma_{\mu\nu}$

$$\delta I / \delta x_\alpha = \Sigma_{\mu\nu} \sum_{(\alpha\mu\nu)} \partial_\alpha X_{\mu\rho} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \dot{y}_\rho \dot{y}_\lambda = 2 \sum_{\lambda\rho}^* \partial_\alpha X_{\lambda\rho} \dot{y}_\lambda \dot{y}_\rho$$

If $\alpha = 1$:

$$2 \Sigma_{23} (\partial_1 X_4 \dot{y}_1 + \partial_2 X_4 \dot{y}_2 + \partial_3 X_4 \dot{y}_3)$$

$$+ 2 \Sigma_{34} (\partial_1 X_2 \dot{y}_1 + \dots)$$

$$+ 2 \Sigma_{42} (\partial_1 X_3 \dot{y}_1 + \dots)$$

$$= 2 \Sigma_{14}^* (\dots)$$

$$+ 2 \Sigma_{12}^* (\dots)$$

$$+ 2 \Sigma_{13} (\dots)$$

$$= 2 \Sigma_{1\beta}^* \partial_\alpha X_{\beta 1} \dot{y}_\alpha$$

or in general

$$\delta I / \delta x_\alpha = 2 \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^* \int \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} X_{\beta 1} \dot{y}_\alpha ds$$

Let $X_\beta = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} \frac{1}{X^2}$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} X_{\beta 1} \dot{y}_\alpha = \int \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} \frac{1}{X^2} \dot{y}_\alpha - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{1}{X^2} \dot{y}_\beta \right]$$

↓
~ $\delta^4(x-y)$

1st term = $-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta} \int \frac{d}{ds} \frac{1}{(x-y(s))^2} \rightarrow 0$

so $\delta I / \delta x_\alpha = 2 \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^* (x) \int \delta^4(x-y) dy_\beta = 2 \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^* (x) j_\beta(x)$

Similarly for a variation of y_α :

$$\delta I / \delta y_\alpha = \int dt d\sigma \sum_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \left[\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha} X_\rho \right) \dot{y}_\lambda - \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\rho} X_\rho \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha} X_\rho = - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\rho} \frac{1}{\partial X^2} \rightarrow 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_\rho} X_\rho \dot{y}_\rho$$

but are performed partial integration

$$X_\rho \delta$$

$$= \int dt d\sigma \sum_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha} X_\rho \dot{y}_\lambda$$

$$= -2 \int \sum_{\lambda\rho}^* \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} X_\rho \dot{y}_\lambda \quad \rightarrow \int \frac{\partial}{\partial}$$

Choose $\alpha=1$. $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} X_\rho \dot{y}_\lambda$

$$= \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} X_\rho \dot{y}_\lambda - \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} X_\rho \dot{y}_\lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also } \sum_{(\lambda\rho\alpha)} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} X_\rho \dot{y}_\lambda &= \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} X_\rho \dot{y}_\lambda + \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} X_\rho \dot{y}_\lambda \\ &\quad + \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} X_\rho \dot{y}_\lambda \\ &= \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} X_\rho \dot{y}_\lambda + \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\lambda} (\partial_\rho X_\rho) \dot{y}_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

Now what is $\sum_{(\lambda\rho\alpha)} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} X_\rho \dot{y}_\lambda$?

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} \epsilon_{\lambda\rho\alpha\mu} = 2 (\delta_{\mu\alpha} \delta_{\nu\rho} - \delta_{\mu\rho} \delta_{\nu\alpha})$$

$$\rightarrow \sum_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha} X_\rho \dot{y}_\lambda \rightarrow 2 \sum_{\alpha\rho} \partial_\alpha$$

$$\sum_{\alpha(\lambda\rho\alpha)} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \partial_\alpha X_\rho \dot{y}_\lambda$$

$$(\lambda\rho\alpha) = 123: \quad \epsilon_{\mu\nu 12} \partial_3 X_2 \dot{y}_1 + \epsilon_{\mu\nu 31} \partial_2 X_2 \dot{y}_1 + \epsilon_{\mu\nu 23} \partial_1 X_2 \dot{y}_1$$
$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu 23} \partial_2 X_3 \dot{y}_1$$

This must $\rightarrow 0$ because $\partial_\alpha X_\rho = \partial_\alpha \partial_\rho f$ is symmetric in $(\alpha\rho)$?